

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.O. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,375	07/05/2001	Yevgeniy Eugene Shteyn	US018098	4295
75	90 11/25/2002			
Corporate Patent Counsel U.S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road		EXAMINER		
			LINDINGER,	LINDINGER, MICHAEL L
Tarrytown, NY	10591		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2841	
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	pplicant(s)					
•	09/900,375	SHTEYN, YEVGENIY EUGENE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael L. Lindinger	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Patent and Trademark Office							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/900,375 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 400 (FIG. 3). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 410 (FIG. 3). It is believed that the Specification incorrectly calls out element number 410 as element number 420 (paragraph 017). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

/

Application/Control Number: 09/900,375 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

The term "with a type of the scheduled activity" in Claim 3 is a relative term which

renders the claim indefinite. The term "with a type of the scheduled activity" is not

defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the

requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of

the scope of the invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/900,375

Art Unit: 2841

Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Nixon

U.S. Patent No. 6,033,316. Nixon teaches an electronic device with a timepiece having

a dial face 3 that comprises a display monitor for providing a graphical representation of

a scheduled activity, wherein the representation comprises a segment 14 whose length

is associated with the duration of the activity, wherein the segment has a graphical

attribute associated with a type of the scheduled activity, wherein a location of the

representation is representative of a begin time of the activity, wherein the graphical

representation is programmable, wherein the segment is located along a perimeter of

the dial face, wherein the device is capable of providing at least a further graphical

representation of a further scheduled activity 15, wherein the device also comprises a

communication component 46 for communicating with another electronic device 47

(Col. 9, lines 65+; Col. 10, lines 1+; Col. 12, lines 10+; FIG. 8-17, 39-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/900,375

Art Unit: 2841

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nixon U.S. Patent No. 6,033,316 in view of Narayanaswami U.S. Patent No. 6,477,117 B1. Regarding Claims 9-11, Nixon teaches an electronic device with a timepiece having a dial face 3 that comprises a display monitor for providing a graphical representation of a scheduled activity, wherein the device includes a communication component for communicating with another electronic device (Col. 9, lines 65+; Col. 10, lines 1+; Col. 12, lines 10+; FIG. 8-17, 39-40). Nixon does not explicitly teach an electronic device wherein the communication used is a short-range communication protocol, or that the other electronic device is an electronic calendar or mobile phone. Narayanaswami teaches an electronic device wherein the communication used is a short-range communication protocol, or that the other electronic device is an electronic calendar or mobile phone (Col. 1, lines 5-10; Col. 4, lines 35+; Col. 7, lines 5+FIG.1, 4). It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time of the invention to adapt the electronic device of the Nixon reference with a short-range communication feature in order to communicate with an increased number of electronic devices. By including the

Application/Control Number: 09/900,375

Art Unit: 2841

wireless communication feature of the Narayanaswami reference, the electronic device

may be programmed or have data inputted or transmitted from it in a greater capacity to

a multitude of receiving locations, wherein those locations may be a mobile phone or an

electronic calendar.

Regarding Claim 12, the combination of the Nixon and teachings inherently possess the

methods of enabling the programming and communicating of data that an electronic

device displays on a monitor that provides a graphical representation of the data, as

well as the corresponding mounting and assembling steps needed to construct the

apparatus.

Regarding Claim 13, Nixon teaches a programmable electronic device that comprises

software for rendering a dial face of a timepiece on a display monitor, wherein the

resulting display from the software provides a graphical representation of a scheduled

activity.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/900,375 Page 7

Art Unit: 2841

#### **Prior Art**

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Lebby U.S. Patent No. 6,158,884 discloses an integrated communicative watch.
- Morishige U.S. Patent No. 4,421,419 discloses an electronic timepiece that has a time display comprising selectively driven optical display elements.
- Lüth U.S. Patent No. 5,894,457 discloses a watch for soccer referees comprising a dial face divided into distinctively shaded areas designating different times.
- Sugiyama U.S. Patent No. 5,898,645 discloses a software-driven time measuring device comprising virtual particles moving between areas based on current time.
- Streefkerk U.S. Patent No. 6,058,277 discloses a printing system and control method for printing images having a circle segment display element for visualizing print job processing times and managing print jobs.
- Kim U.S. Patent No. 6,388,952 B2 discloses a programmable time switch where a time or time interval is set and displayed by circular segments about a dial.
- Kaneko U.S. Patent No. 6,414,910 B1 discloses a timepiece comprising a display including multiple colors using a monochromatic LCD IC.
- Hepp U.S. Patent No. 6,449,219 B1 discloses a time sensing device comprising an analog time display along with an additional representative display for timekeeping.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,375

Art Unit: 2841

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael L. Lindinger whose telephone number is (703)

305-0618. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:30-6).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7318

for regular communications and (703) 746-7318 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Michael L. Lindinger

Patent Examiner

Art Unit/2841

MLL

November 22, 2002

DAVID MARTIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 8